arXiv:1411.2360v1 [math.NT] 10 Nov 2014

ON THE DISTRIBUTION OF SQUAREFREE INTEGERS IN ARITHMETIC PROGRESSIONS

by

Pierre Le Boudec

Abstract. — We investigate the error term of the asymptotic formula for the number of squarefree integers up to some bound, and lying in some arithmetic progression $a \pmod q$. In particular, we prove an upper bound for its variance as a varies over $(\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z})^\times$ which considerably improves upon earlier work of Blomer.

Contents

1. Introduction 1
2. Preliminaries 5
3. Proofs 6
References 9

1. Introduction

1.1. Distribution in arithmetic progressions: analogy between primes and squarefree integers. — Let $x \geq 1$ and $q \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ be such that $q \leq x$, and let also $a \in (\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z})^\times$. We introduce

$$S(x;q,a) = \sum_{\substack{n \leq x \\ n = a \pmod q}} |\mu(n)|,$$

and we define the quantity $E(x;q,a)$ by the equality

$$S(x;q,a) = c_q \frac{x}{q} + E(x;q,a),$$

where

$$c_q = \prod_{p \nmid q} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^2}\right).$$

Hooley [**Hoo75**, Theorem 3] proved that for any fixed $\varepsilon > 0$, we have

$$E(x;q,a) \ll \left(\frac{x}{q}\right)^{1/2} + q^{1/2+\varepsilon}, \tag{1.1}$$

2010 ***Mathematics Subject Classification.*** — 11N37, 11N69.
Key words and phrases. — Squarefree integers, arithmetic progressions, variance.

which is non-trivial provided that $q \leq x^{2/3-\varepsilon}$, and thus gives an asymptotic formula for $S(x;q,a)$ in this range. It should be stated that it is expected to be difficult to improve on this result.

It is thus natural to study this problem on average. More specifically, the purpose of this article is to investigate the following variance

$$V(x;q) = \sum_{a \pmod q}^{*} E(x;q,a)^2,$$

where the symbol $*$ indicates that the summation is restricted to residue classes $a \in (\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z})^{\times}$. Blomer studied this quantity and he proved [**Blo08**, Theorem 1.3] that

$$V(x;q) \ll x^{\varepsilon}\left(x + \min\left\{\frac{x^{5/3}}{q}, q^2\right\}\right). \tag{1.2}$$

Unfortunately, this upper bound is not sharp (even without the factor x^{ε}) unless the size of q is about x. Croft established a Barban-Davenport-Halberstam type Theorem [**Cro75**, Theorem 2], which states that $V(x;q)$ has size about $x^{1/2}q^{1/2}$ on average over $q \leq Q$, and for $Q \geq x^{2/3}$. Note that Croft was summing over all residue classes $a \in \mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z}$ but this can certainly be ignored in our discussion.

Croft noticed that his result is in accordance with a remark of Montgomery stating that on probabilistic grounds, one would expect

$$E(x;q,a) \ll \left(\frac{x}{q}\right)^{1/4+\varepsilon}.$$

However, it should be pointed out that the range for which this upper bound should hold was unspecified. In particular, it is not clear why this should be true if q is very close to x.

It is very instructive to compare the problem at hand with the analog problem about primes in arithmetic progressions. Let us define the quantity $\mathcal{E}(x;q,a)$ by the equality

$$\sum_{\substack{n \leq x \\ n=a \pmod q}} \Lambda(n) = \frac{x}{\varphi(q)} + \mathcal{E}(x;q,a),$$

and let us also introduce the corresponding variance

$$\mathcal{V}(x;q) = \sum_{a \pmod q}^{*} \mathcal{E}(x;q,a)^2.$$

After proving that an expectation of Montgomery [**Mon76**] was false, Friedlander and Granville [**FG89**, Conjecture 1] conjectured the following.

Conjecture A. — *Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be fixed. For $q \leq x$, we have*

$$\mathcal{E}(x;q,a) \ll \left(\frac{x}{q}\right)^{1/2} x^{\varepsilon}.$$

Note that if $q \geq x^{\delta}$ for some $\delta > 0$, this conjecture is stronger than the Generalized Riemann Hypothesis (GRH), which only gives

$$\mathcal{E}(x;q,a) \ll x^{1/2}(\log x)^2. \tag{1.3}$$

However, Turán [**Tur37**] proved that GRH implies that for $q \leq x$, we have

$$\mathcal{V}(x;q) \ll x(\log x)^4, \tag{1.4}$$

which agrees with Conjecture A.

We now make the following conjecture, which is the analog of Conjecture A for our present problem.

Conjecture 1. — *Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be fixed. For $q \leq x$, we have*

$$E(x; q, a) \ll \left(\frac{x}{q}\right)^{1/4} x^{\varepsilon}.$$

As in the case of primes in arithmetic progressions, this conjecture can be analyzed by looking at the poles and residues of the complex functions $L(\chi, s)/L(\chi^2, 2s)$ where χ runs over the set of Dirichlet characters modulo q. It is worth pointing out that here, similarly to (1.3), it should not be expected that GRH implies anything stronger (maybe up to the factor x^{ε}) than

$$E(x; q, a) \ll x^{1/4+\varepsilon} q^{1/4}. \tag{1.5}$$

Note that Hooley's upper bound (1.1) is stronger than (1.5) for $q \geq x^{1/3-\varepsilon}$, but going below this range seems to be challenging. In particular, the upper bound (1.5) for $q = 1$ implies the Riemann Hypothesis.

Surprisingly, Moreira Nunes [**MN14**, Corollary 1.3] recently established, for q large enough in terms of x, a more precise and unconditional analog of Turán's result (1.4). More specifically, he proved that for $x^{31/41+\varepsilon} \leq q \leq x^{1-\varepsilon}$, we have

$$V(x; q) \sim C_q x^{1/2} q^{1/2}, \tag{1.6}$$

where $C_q > 0$ is explicit and uniformly bounded. Note that this is in agreement with Conjecture 1.

It would be very interesting to have a heuristic giving the maximal range for which the asymptotic formula (1.6) should hold. It seems to the author that using the result of Heath-Brown [**HB84**, Lemma 3] (as in section 3.1) instead of the square sieve in the work of Moreira Nunes, the error term in [**MN14**, Theorem 1.1] can be replaced by $x^{\varepsilon}\left(x^{1/3}q^{2/3} + x^{5/3}q^{-4/3} + x^{4/3}q^{-2/3}\right)$. Therefore, it can be checked that the asymptotic formula (1.6) actually holds for $x^{5/7+\varepsilon} \leq q \leq x^{1-\varepsilon}$.

It is also natural to ask in which range one can prove the analog of the result of Turán (1.4). As already stated above, the upper bound $V(x; 1) \ll x^{1/2+\varepsilon}$ implies the Riemann Hypothesis so this problem is extremely hard if q is small. Nevertheless, we can prove the following result.

Theorem 1. — *Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be fixed. For $q \leq x$, we have*

$$V(x; q) \ll x^{\varepsilon}\left(x^{1/2}q^{1/2} + \frac{x}{q^{1/2}}\right).$$

In particular, for $x^{1/2} \leq q \leq x$, we have

$$V(x; q) \ll x^{1/2+\varepsilon} q^{1/2}.$$

Theorem 1 improves upon the upper bound of Blomer (1.2) in the whole range $q \leq x$, and also on the upper bound of Moreira Nunes [**MN14**, Theorem 1.1] in the range where the asymptotic formula (1.6) is not known to hold.

It should be noted that in the first upper bound in Theorem 1, the only thing which could be improved without knowing a quasi-Riemann Hypothesis (apart from the factor x^{ε}) is the power of q in the term $xq^{-1/2}$.

Theorem 1 will be deduced from the investigation of the quantity

$$T(x; q) = \sideset{}{^*}\sum_{\substack{n_1, n_2 \leq x \\ n_1 = n_2 \pmod q}} |\mu(n_1)||\mu(n_2)|,$$

where, as for residue classes, the symbol $*$ indicates that the summation is restricted to integers which are coprime to q. We will prove that Theorem 1 is equivalent to the following asymptotic formula for $T(x; q)$.

Proposition 1. — *Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be fixed. For $q \leq x$, we have*

$$T(x;q) - \frac{1}{\varphi(q)} \left(\sum_{n \leq x}^{*} |\mu(n)| \right)^2 \ll x^{\varepsilon} \left(x^{1/2} q^{1/2} + \frac{x}{q^{1/2}} \right).$$

It is worth noting that Proposition 1 is equivalent to the following upper bound for the variance of certain character sums.

Corollary 1. — *Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be fixed. For $q \leq x$, we have*

$$\frac{1}{\varphi(q)} \sum_{\chi \neq \chi_0} \left| \sum_{n \leq x} |\mu(n)| \chi(n) \right|^2 \ll x^{\varepsilon} \left(x^{1/2} q^{1/2} + \frac{x}{q^{1/2}} \right),$$

where the first sum is over all non-trivial Dirichlet characters modulo q.

The proof of Proposition 1 uses results established by the author in [**LB14**] to count solutions to certain linear congruences, and which draw upon geometry of numbers methods.

1.2. Density results. — Several interesting density results immediately follow from Theorem 1. First of all, we obtain that in the range $x^{1/2} \leq q \leq x$, the set of $a \in (\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z})^{\times}$ violating Conjecture 1 has density zero.

Corollary 2. — *Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be fixed. For $x^{1/2} \leq q \leq x$, we have*

$$\frac{1}{\varphi(q)} \# \left\{ a \in (\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z})^{\times}, |E(x;q,a)| > \left(\frac{x}{q} \right)^{1/4} x^{\varepsilon} \right\} \ll x^{-\varepsilon}.$$

Corollary 2 states that for $x^{1/2} \leq q \leq x^{1-\varepsilon}$ and for almost every $a \in (\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z})^{\times}$, we have an asymptotic formula for $S(x;q,a)$ in which the error term is about the fourth root of the main term, which is a strong improvement of Hooley's error term $q^{1/2+\varepsilon}$ appearing in (1.1).

We have the following analog concerning the range $q \leq x^{1/2}$.

Corollary 3. — *Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be fixed. For $q \leq x^{1/2}$, we have*

$$\frac{1}{\varphi(q)} \# \left\{ a \in (\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z})^{\times}, |E(x;q,a)| > \frac{x^{1/2+\varepsilon}}{q^{3/4}} \right\} \ll x^{-\varepsilon}.$$

Corollary 3 states that in the range $q \leq x^{1/2}$ and for almost every $a \in (\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z})^{\times}$, we have an asymptotic formula for $S(x;q,a)$ in which the error term is $x^{1/2+\varepsilon} q^{-3/4}$, which is a modest improvement of Hooley's error term $x^{1/2} q^{-1/2}$ appearing in (1.1).

Recall that Hooley's upper bound (1.1) is only stronger than (1.5) in the range $q \geq x^{1/3-\varepsilon}$. Theorem 1 implies that for $x^{1/4} \leq q \leq x^{1/3}$, the set of $a \in (\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z})^{\times}$ violating the upper bound (1.5) has density zero.

Corollary 4. — *Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be fixed. For $x^{1/4} \leq q \leq x^{1/3}$, we have*

$$\frac{1}{\varphi(q)} \# \left\{ a \in (\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z})^{\times}, |E(x;q,a)| > x^{1/4+\varepsilon} q^{1/4} \right\} \ll \frac{x^{1/2-\varepsilon}}{q^2}.$$

1.3. Moment of order 1 and correlations. — The Cauchy-Schwarz inequality immediately gives the following upper bound for the moment of order 1 of $|E(x;q,a)|$.

Corollary 5. — *Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be fixed. For $q \leq x$, we have*

$$\sideset{}{^*}\sum_{a \pmod q} |E(x;q,a)| \ll x^{\varepsilon}\left(x^{1/4}q^{3/4} + x^{1/2}q^{1/4}\right).$$

In addition, for γ a bijection of $(\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z})^{\times}$, let us define

$$T_{\gamma}(x;q) = \sideset{}{^*}\sum_{\substack{n_1,n_2 \leq x \\ n_1 = \gamma(n_2) \pmod q}} |\mu(n_1)||\mu(n_2)|.$$

Using the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and Theorem 1, we obtain an upper bound for the correlations of $E(x;q,a)$ and $E(x;q,\gamma(a))$. We will prove that this upper bound implies that $T_{\gamma}(x;q)$ satisfies the same asymptotic formula as $T(x;q)$.

Corollary 6. — *Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be fixed. Let γ be a bijection of $(\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z})^{\times}$. For $q \leq x$, we have*

$$T_{\gamma}(x;q) - \frac{1}{\varphi(q)}\left(\sideset{}{^*}\sum_{n \leq x} |\mu(n)|\right)^2 \ll x^{\varepsilon}\left(x^{1/2}q^{1/2} + \frac{x}{q^{1/2}}\right),$$

uniformly in γ.

1.4. Acknowledgements. — It is a great pleasure for the author to thank Philippe Michel and Ramon Moreira Nunes for interesting conversations related to the topics of this article.

The financial support and the perfect working conditions provided by the École Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne are gratefully acknowledged.

2. Preliminaries

The proof of Proposition 1 makes use of geometry of numbers results that we record here. The following lemma is due to Heath-Brown [**HB84**, Lemma 3] and provides an upper bound for the number of primitive integral solutions to a linear equation in three variables.

Lemma 1. — *Let $(w_0,w_1,w_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^3$ be a primitive vector and let $U_0,U_1,U_2 \geq 1$. The number of primitive vectors $(u_0,u_1,u_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^3$ satisfying $|u_i| \leq U_i$ for $i \in \{0,1,2\}$ and the equation*

$$u_0w_0 + u_1w_1 + u_2w_2 = 0,$$

is at most

$$12\pi\frac{U_0U_1U_2}{\max\{|w_i|U_i\}} + 4,$$

where the maximum is taken over $i \in \{0,1,2\}$.

The next result is due to the author [**LB14**, Lemma 2]. It gives an asymptotic formula for the number of solutions to certain linear congruences in two variables.

Lemma 2. — *Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be fixed. Let $V_1,V_2 \geq 1$, and let $q \geq 1$ and $a_1,a_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}$ be coprime to q. Let also*

$$N(V_1,V_2;q,a_1,a_2) = \#\left\{(v_1,v_2) \in ([1,V_1] \times [1,V_2]) \cap \mathbb{Z}^2,\ \begin{array}{l} a_1v_1 = a_2v_2 \pmod q \\ \gcd(v_1v_2,q) = 1 \end{array}\right\},$$

and

$$N^*(V_1,V_2;q)=\frac{1}{\varphi(q)}\#\left\{(v_1,v_2)\in([1,V_1]\times[1,V_2])\cap\mathbb{Z}^2,\gcd(v_1v_2,q)=1\right\}.$$

We have the estimate

$$N(V_1,V_2;q,a_1,a_2)-N^*(V_1,V_2;q)\ll q^{\varepsilon}M(q,a_1,a_2),$$

where

$$M(q,a_1,a_2)=\sum_{d|q}d\sum_{\substack{0<|r|,|s|\leq q/2\\ a_1s+a_2r=0 \pmod d}}|r|^{-1}|s|^{-1}.$$

The next lemma is also due to the author [**LB14**, Lemma 9]. It provides an upper bound for the average of the quantity $M(q,f_1^2,f_2^2)$ while summed over coprime variables f_1 and f_2.

Lemma 3. — *Let $\varepsilon>0$ be fixed and $F_1,F_2\geq 1/2$. We have the bound*

$$\sum_{\substack{F_i<f_i\leq 2F_i\\ \gcd(f_1,f_2)=1}}^{*}M(q,f_1^2,f_2^2)\ll q^{\varepsilon}\left(F_1F_2+q\right),$$

where the symbol $*$ indicates that the summation is restricted to integers which are coprime to q, and where i implicitly runs over the set $\{1,2\}$.

It is worth noting that Lemmas 2 and 3 are not as precise as the original results [**LB14**, Lemmas 2 and 9] but the versions recorded here are sufficient for our purpose.

3. Proofs

3.1. Proof of Proposition 1. — We have

$$T(x;q)=\sum_{\substack{d_1e_1^2,d_2e_2^2\leq x\\ d_1e_1^2=d_2e_2^2 \pmod q}}^{*}\mu(e_1)\mu(e_2).$$

We set $e=\gcd(e_1,e_2)$ and we write $e_i=ef_i$ for $i\in\{1,2\}$, where $f_1,f_2\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ satisfy $\gcd(f_1,f_2)=1$. If $d_1f_1^2\neq d_2f_2^2$ then the fact that e is coprime to q implies that e^2 divides an integer $k\in\mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}$ such that $|k|\leq xq^{-1}$, and thus $e\leq x^{1/2}q^{-1/2}$. Moreover, let us bound the contribution of the $(d_1,d_2,e,f_1,f_2)\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}^5$ such that $d_1f_1^2=d_2f_2^2$ and $e>x^{1/2}q^{-1/2}$. Since $\gcd(f_1,f_2)=1$, there exists an integer $m\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ such that $d_1=mf_2^2$ and $d_2=mf_1^2$. Therefore, this contribution is at most

$$\sum_{\substack{me^2f_1^2f_2^2\leq x\\ e>x^{1/2}q^{-1/2}}}1\ll x^{1/2}q^{1/2}.$$

As a result, we have

$$T(x;q)-T'(x;q)\ll x^{1/2}q^{1/2},\tag{3.1}$$

where

$$T'(x;q)=\sum_{e\leq x^{1/2}q^{-1/2}}^{*}\sum_{\substack{f_1,f_2\leq x^{1/2}e^{-1}\\ \gcd(f_1,f_2)=1}}^{*}\mu(ef_1)\mu(ef_2)N(D_1,D_2;q,f_1^2,f_2^2),$$

where we have set $D_i=xe^{-2}f_i^{-2}$ for $i\in\{1,2\}$, and where $N(D_1,D_2;q,f_1^2,f_2^2)$ is defined in Lemma 2.

Let $y \geq 1$ be a parameter to be selected in due course. We define

$$T'_{\leq}(x;q) = \sideset{}{^*}\sum_{e \leq x^{1/2}q^{-1/2}} \sideset{}{^*}\sum_{\substack{f_1,f_2 \leq x^{1/2}e^{-1} \\ \gcd(f_1,f_2)=1 \\ ef_1f_2 \leq y}} \mu(ef_1)\mu(ef_2)N(D_1,D_2;q,f_1^2,f_2^2).$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} T'(x;q) - T'_{\leq}(x;q) &\ll \sideset{}{^*}\sum_{e^2d_1d_2 \leq x^2/y^2} \sideset{}{^*}\sum_{\substack{d_1e^2f_1^2, d_2e^2f_2^2 \leq x \\ d_1f_1^2 = d_2f_2^2 \pmod q \\ \gcd(f_1,f_2)=1}} 1 \\ &\ll \sideset{}{^*}\sum_{e^2d_1d_2 \leq x^2/y^2} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq \rho \leq q \\ \rho^2 d_1 = d_2 \pmod q}} \sideset{}{^*}\sum_{\substack{d_1e^2f_1^2, d_2e^2f_2^2 \leq x \\ f_1 = \rho f_2 \pmod q \\ \gcd(f_1,f_2)=1}} 1. \end{aligned}$$

We now use Lemma 1, we get

$$\sideset{}{^*}\sum_{\substack{d_1e^2f_1^2, d_2e^2f_2^2 \leq x \\ f_1 = \rho f_2 \pmod q \\ \gcd(f_1,f_2)=1}} 1 \ll \frac{x}{e^2 d_1^{1/2} d_2^{1/2} q} + 1.$$

Therefore, we find that

$$T'(x;q) - T'_{\leq}(x;q) \ll x^{\varepsilon}\left(\frac{x^2}{yq} + \frac{x^2}{y^2}\right). \tag{3.2}$$

We now estimate the quantity $T'_{\leq}(x;q)$ by making use of Lemma 2. We obtain

$$N(D_1,D_2;q,f_1^2,f_2^2) - N^*(D_1,D_2;q) \ll q^{\varepsilon} M(q,f_1^2,f_2^2), \tag{3.3}$$

where $N^*(D_1,D_2;q)$ and $M(q,f_1^2,f_2^2)$ are defined in Lemma 2. We now need to prove an upper bound for

$$\sum_{e \leq x^{1/2}q^{-1/2}} \sideset{}{^*}\sum_{\substack{ef_1f_2 \leq y \\ \gcd(f_1,f_2)=1}} M(q,f_1^2,f_2^2).$$

We split the summations over f_1 and f_2 into dyadic ranges. Let $F_1, F_2 \geq 1/2$ run over the set of powers of 2. Lemma 3 states that

$$\sideset{}{^*}\sum_{\substack{F_i < f_i \leq 2F_i \\ \gcd(f_1,f_2)=1}} M(q,f_1^2,f_2^2) \ll q^{\varepsilon}\left(F_1F_2 + q\right).$$

We thus obtain that the overall contribution of the error term coming from the use of Lemma 3 is at most

$$q^{\varepsilon} \sum_{e \leq x^{1/2}q^{-1/2}} \sum_{eF_1F_2 \leq y} (F_1F_2 + q) \ll x^{\varepsilon}\left(y + x^{1/2}q^{1/2}\right).$$

As a result, recalling the upper bounds (3.1), (3.2) and (3.3), we get

$$T(x;q) - \frac{1}{\varphi(q)} \sideset{}{^*}\sum_{\substack{d_1e^2f_1^2, d_2e^2f_2^2 \leq x \\ \gcd(f_1,f_2)=1 \\ e \leq x^{1/2}q^{-1/2} \\ ef_1f_2 \leq y}} \mu(ef_1)\mu(ef_2) \ll x^{\varepsilon}\left(x^{1/2}q^{1/2} + \frac{x^2}{yq} + \frac{x^2}{y^2} + y\right). \tag{3.4}$$

Removing the conditions $e \leq x^{1/2}q^{-1/2}$ and $ef_1f_2 \leq y$ from the summation in the estimate (3.4) creates an error term which is at most $x^{\varepsilon}\left(x^{1/2}q^{1/2} + x^2y^{-1}q^{-1}\right)$. In addition, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\sideset{}{^*}\sum_{\substack{d_1e^2f_1^2, d_2e^2f_2^2 \leq x \\ \gcd(f_1,f_2)=1}} \mu(ef_1)\mu(ef_2) &= \sideset{}{^*}\sum_{d_1e_1^2, d_2e_2^2 \leq x} \mu(e_1)\mu(e_2) \\ &= \left(\sideset{}{^*}\sum_{n\leq x} |\mu(n)|\right)^2.\end{aligned}$$

We have finally obtained

$$T(x;q) - \frac{1}{\varphi(q)}\left(\sideset{}{^*}\sum_{n\leq x} |\mu(n)|\right)^2 \ll x^{\varepsilon}\left(x^{1/2}q^{1/2} + \frac{x^2}{yq} + \frac{x^2}{y^2} + y\right).$$

Choosing $y = xq^{-1/2}$ completes the proof of Proposition 1.

3.2. Proof of the equivalence between Theorem 1 and Proposition 1. — We have

$$V(x;q) = T(x;q) - 2c_q\frac{x}{q}\sideset{}{^*}\sum_{n\leq x} |\mu(n)| + \varphi(q)c_q^2\frac{x^2}{q^2},$$

and thus

$$V(x;q) = T(x;q) - \frac{1}{\varphi(q)}\left(\sideset{}{^*}\sum_{n\leq x} |\mu(n)|\right)^2 + \frac{1}{\varphi(q)}\left(\sideset{}{^*}\sum_{n\leq x} |\mu(n)| - \varphi(q)c_q\frac{x}{q}\right)^2.$$

Moreover, it is immediate to check that

$$\sideset{}{^*}\sum_{n\leq x} |\mu(n)| - \varphi(q)c_q\frac{x}{q} \ll x^{1/2+\varepsilon},$$

so Theorem 1 and Proposition 1 are clearly seen to be equivalent.

3.3. Proof of Corollary 6. — Let

$$V_\gamma(x;q) = \sideset{}{^*}\sum_{a \pmod q} E(x;q,a)E(x;q,\gamma(a)).$$

We have

$$V_\gamma(x;q) = T_\gamma(x;q) - 2c_q\frac{x}{q}\sideset{}{^*}\sum_{n\leq x} |\mu(n)| + \varphi(q)c_q^2\frac{x^2}{q^2},$$

and thus

$$T(x;q) - T_\gamma(x;q) = V(x;q) - V_\gamma(x;q).$$

The Cauchy-Schwarz inequality gives

$$|V_\gamma(x;q)| \leq V(x;q).$$

Therefore, we have $T(x;q) - T_\gamma(x;q) \geq 0$ and

$$T(x;q) - T_\gamma(x;q) \leq 2V(x;q).$$

Corollary 6 is now a direct consequence of Theorem 1 and Proposition 1.

References

[Blo08] V. Blomer, *The average value of divisor sums in arithmetic progressions*, Q. J. Math. **59** (2008), no. 3, 275–286.

[Cro75] M. J. Croft, *Square-free numbers in arithmetic progressions*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **30** (1975), 143–159.

[FG89] J. Friedlander and A. Granville, *Limitations to the equi-distribution of primes. I*, Ann. of Math. (2) **129** (1989), no. 2, 363–382.

[HB84] D. R. Heath-Brown, *Diophantine approximation with square-free numbers*, Math. Z. **187** (1984), no. 3, 335–344.

[Hoo75] C. Hooley, *A note on square-free numbers in arithmetic progressions*, Bull. London Math. Soc. **7** (1975), 133–138.

[LB14] P. Le Boudec, *Affine congruences and rational points on a certain cubic surface*, Algebra Number Theory **8** (2014), no. 5, 1259–1296.

[MN14] R. Moreira Nunes, *Squarefree numbers in arithmetic progressions*, Preprint, arXiv:1402.0684v2 (2014).

[Mon76] H. L. Montgomery, *Problems concerning prime numbers*, Mathematical developments arising from Hilbert problems (Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., Northern Illinois Univ., De Kalb, Ill., 1974), Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R. I., 1976, pp. 307–310. Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., Vol. XXVIII.

[Tur37] P. Turán, *Über die Primzahlen der arithmetischen Progression.*, Acta Litt. Sci. Szeged **8** (1937), 226–235 (German).

Pierre Le Boudec, EPFL SB MATHGEOM TAN, MA C3 604 (Bâtiment MA), Station 8, CH-1015 Lausanne, Switzerland • *E-mail :* pierre.leboudec@epfl.ch